

Field Data Template

Crash Avoidance

Technical Bulletin CA 003

Implementation 1st January 2026

PREFACE

DISCLAIMER: Euro NCAP has taken all reasonable care to ensure that the information published in this protocol is accurate and reflects the technical decisions taken by the organisation. In the unlikely event that this protocol contains a typographical error or any other inaccuracy, Euro NCAP reserves the right to make corrections and determine the assessment and subsequent result of the affected requirement(s).

CONTENTS

| INTRODUCTION | 3 |
|------------------------------------|----|
| 1 GENERAL INFORMATION | 4 |
| 2 SENSOR SPECIFICATIONS | 5 |
| 3 CLAIMED ROBUSTNESS LAYERS | 6 |
| 4 VALIDATION SUMMARY | 7 |
| 4.1 Methodology | 7 |
| 4.2 Dataset | 9 |
| 4.3 Object classification evidence | 10 |

INTRODUCTION

This document is intended to provide guidance to the Vehicle Manufacturer at the time of providing evidence of perception performance and function availability under the presence of adverse environmental and/or infrastructure conditions, constituting the perception-related robustness layers of the Euro NCAP Crash Avoidance assessment protocol.

It is expected that the Vehicle Manufacturer has collected performance evidence in real world conditions. Degradation of functionality under certain conditions may be expected and shall be therefore accepted, and the function shall in no case be fully unavailable.

1 GENERAL INFORMATION

| Item | Details |
|---|---------|
| Manufacturer | [Enter] |
| Vehicle Model | [Enter] |
| Other models sharing the same system | [Enter] |
| S/W version at the time of the assessment | [Enter] |
| Report date [dd/mm/yyyy] | [Enter] |

2 SENSOR SPECIFICATIONS

| Sensor # | Item | Details |
|----------|--|---------|
| Sensor 1 | Type (e.g., Camera, radar, LiDAR) | [Enter] |
| | Function (e.g., AEB, FCW, LKA) | [Enter] |
| | Countermeasures to increase availability (e.g., heating panel) | [Enter] |
| | Mounting position (schematics) | [Enter] |
| Sensor 2 | Type (e.g., Camera, radar, LiDAR) | [Enter] |
| | Function (e.g., AEB, FCW, LKA) | [Enter] |
| | Countermeasures to increase availability (e.g., heating panel) | [Enter] |
| | Mounting position (schematics) | [Enter] |
| Sensor 3 | Type (e.g., Camera, radar, LiDAR) | [Enter] |
| | Function (e.g., AEB, FCW, LKA) | [Enter] |
| | Countermeasures to increase availability (e.g., heating panel) | [Enter] |
| | Mounting position (schematics) | [Enter] |

3 CLAIMED ROBUSTNESS LAYERS

Check the boxes where minimum function performance is claimed across collision partners.

Minimum function performance is defined as the system ability to detect and classify a road user under the presence of different robustness layers, with high availability. Temporary degradation is accepted under some circumstances – these are to be described in chapter 4.

| | ustness layers Perception) | Claimed performance across collision partner | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----|-----------|------------|------------------|
| Туре | Layer | Car | PTW | Bicyclist | Pedestrian | Lane Boundary |
| et | Туре | | | | | |
| Target | Appearance | | | | | |
| | Adverse weather conditions | | | | | |
| | Illumination (Night time) | | | | | |
| Illum (High su Su Su Illum (head on ve | Illumination – Glare (High intensity sunlight) | | | | | |
| | Illumination – Glare (headlights from oncoming vehicles) | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure / clutter | | | | | |
| | Obscuration / Obstruction | | | | | |

4 VALIDATION SUMMARY

4.1 Methodology

Describe the methods and tools used to evaluate perception performance and function availability under the presence of robustness layers:

| Methodology used | Description of methodology |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Perception training dataset | [Fill in if applicable] |
| FOT | [Fill in if applicable] |
| Field Test | [Fill in if applicable] |
| Fleet Insight | [Fill in if applicable] |
| Testing on Test Track | [Fill in if applicable] |

Where:

Perception Training Dataset: Selective and targeted driving in environments with high exposure to various road users (e.g., different target types and appearances) under diverse conditions, such as adverse weather conditions (AWC), varying illumination, and different infrastructure/clutter scenarios.

FOT (Field Operational Test): Long-term studies conducted on a limited number of fleet vehicles under normal driving conditions. These vehicles are equipped with loggers and measurement systems to analyse driver behaviour, vehicle usage, and human-system interaction.

Field Test: A large-scale testing campaign using test drivers to simulate real-world user profiles, including different road environments and environmental conditions. Designed to balance true positives (TP) and false positives (FP), this test can also support re-simulations during the development phase.

Fleet Insight: Retrospective large-scale data collection from all customer vehicles, providing a fast feedback loop for continuous system improvement in real-world conditions. This process begins after the vehicle—or any vehicle sharing the same system—has been launched to the market.

Testing on a Test Track: System performance validation under controlled, repeatable, and reproducible conditions. This includes verifying true positive events in scenarios that are difficult to encounter naturally or unsafe to conduct in real-world environments.

4.2 Dataset

Enter the characteristics of the validation dataset collected in real-world traffic conditions, through the different method(s) used:

| ltem | Detail | Perception training dataset | FOT | Field Test | Fleet insight |
|--------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------|------------|---------------|
| Length [km] | - | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] |
| Road category | Urban | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] |
| [%] | Interurban | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] |
| | Highway | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] |
| Day/Nighttime | Day time | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] |
| [%] | Nighttime | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] |
| Sky [%] | Clear | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] |
| | Cloudy | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] |
| Road condition [%] | Dry | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] |
| | Wet | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] |
| | Snow | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] |

4.2.1 KPIs

| | | Actual observed values | | | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------|------------|------------------|
| KPI | Description | Perception training dataset | FOT | Field Test | Fleet insight |
| Detection Rate | Percentage of correctly identified relevant* objects | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] |
| Classification Accuracy | Precision in relevant* object identification | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] |
| False Positive Rate | AEB function activations for non-existent objects and existing objects out of the collision path | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] | [Enter] |

^{*} Relevant: Collision partner that may be relevant for a potential AEB function activation (e.g., within the range, lateral distance and target kinematics)

4.3 Object classification evidence

DISCLAIMER: The Vehicle Manufacturer declares that, for each of the evidences provided below on detection and classification, the function's collision avoidance strategy remains unchanged under these conditions compared to the Euro NCAP Crash Avoidance use case where speed reduction performance is claimed.

The Vehicle Manufacturer shall provide at lest 3 visual examples that shows system classification of across the claimed collision partners under the presence of the prescribed robustness layers, consisting of short clips of 3 to 5 seconds in .mp4 format, and labelled with a high level description in .txt format.

| Robustness Layer | Collision Partner | 3 evidence examples provided? | Evidence 1 | Evidence 2 | Evidence 3 |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Car | | TT_C_1.mp4, TT_C_1.txt | TT_C_2.mp4, TT_C_2.txt | TT_C_3.mp4, TT_C_3.txt |
| | PTW | | TT_M_1.mp4, TT_M_1.txt | TT_M_2.mp4, TT_M_2.txt | TT_M_3.mp4, TT_M_3.txt |
| Target Type | Pedestrian | | TT_P_1.mp4, TT_P_1.txt | TT_P_2.mp4, TT_P_2.txt | TT_P_3.mp4, TT_P_3.txt |
| | Bicycle | | TT_B_1.mp4, TT_B_1.txt | TT_B_2.mp4, TT_B_2.txt | TT_B_3.mp4, TT_B_3.txt |
| | Lane boundary | | TT_L_1.mp4, TT_L_1.txt | TT_L_2.mp4, TT_L_2.txt | TT_L_3.mp4, TT_L_3.txt |
| Target Appearance | Car | | TA_C_1.mp4, TA_C_1.txt | TA_C_2.mp4, TA_C_2.txt | TA_C_3.mp4, TA_C_3.txt |
| | PTW | | TA_M_1.mp4, TA_M_1.txt | TA_M_2.mp4, TA_M_2.txt | TA_M_3.mp4, TA_M_3.txt |
| | Pedestrian | | TA_P_1.mp4, TA_P_1.txt | TA_P_2.mp4, TA_P_2.txt | TA_P_3.mp4, TA_P_3.txt |

| Robustness Layer | Collision Partner | 3 evidence examples provided? | Evidence 1 | Evidence 2 | Evidence 3 |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Bicycle | | TA_B_1.mp4, TA_B_1.txt | TA_B_2.mp4, TA_B_2.txt | TA_B_3.mp4, TA_B_3.txt |
| | Lane boundary | | TA_L_1.mp4, TA_L_1.txt | TA_L_2.mp4, TA_L_2.txt | TA_L_3.mp4, TA_L_3.txt |
| | Car | | AW_C_1.mp4, AW_C_1.txt | AW_C_2.mp4, AW_C_2.txt | AW_C_3.mp4, AW_C_3.txt |
| | PTW | | AW_M_1.mp4, AW_M_1.txt | AW_M_2.mp4, AW_M_2.txt | AW_M_3.mp4, AW_M_3.txt |
| Adverse Weather Conditions | Pedestrian | | AW_P_1.mp4, AW_P_1.txt | AW_P_2.mp4, AW_P_2.txt | AW_P_3.mp4, AW_P_3.txt |
| | Bicycle | | AW_B_1.mp4, AW_B_1.txt | AW_B_2.mp4, AW_B_2.txt | AW_B_3.mp4, AW_B_3.txt |
| | Lane boundary | | AW_L_1.mp4, AW_L_1.txt | AW_L_2.mp4, AW_L_2.txt | AW_L_3.mp4, AW_L_3.txt |
| | Car | | IN_C_1.mp4, IN_C_1.txt | IN_C_2.mp4, IN_C_2.txt | IN_C_3.mp4, IN_C_3.txt |
| | PTW | | IN_M_1.mp4, IN_M_1.txt | IN_M_2.mp4, IN_M_2.txt | IN_M_3.mp4, IN_M_3.txt |
| Illumination (Night time) | Pedestrian | | IN_P_1.mp4, IN_P_1.txt | IN_P_2.mp4, IN_P_2.txt | IN_P_3.mp4, IN_P_3.txt |
| | Bicycle | | IN_B_1.mp4, IN_B_1.txt | IN_B_2.mp4, IN_B_2.txt | IN_B_3.mp4, IN_B_3.txt |
| | Lane boundary | | IN_L_1.mp4, IN_L_1.txt | IN_L_2.mp4, IN_L_2.txt | IN_L_3.mp4, IN_L_3.txt |
| | Car | | IS_C_1.mp4, IS_C_1.txt | IS_C_2.mp4, IS_C_2.txt | IS_C_3.mp4, IS_C_3.txt |

| Robustness Layer | Collision Partner | 3 evidence examples provided? | Evidence 1 | Evidence 2 | Evidence 3 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | PTW | | IS_M_1.mp4, IS_M_1.txt | IS_M_2.mp4, IS_M_2.txt | IS_M_3.mp4, IS_M_3.txt |
| Illumination – | Pedestrian | | IS_P_1.mp4, IS_P_1.txt | IS_P_2.mp4, IS_P_2.txt | IS_P_3.mp4, IS_P_3.txt |
| Glare (High intensity sunlight) | Bicycle | | IS_B_1.mp4, IS_B_1.txt | IS_B_2.mp4, IS_B_2.txt | IS_B_3.mp4, IS_B_3.txt |
| | Lane boundary | | IS_L_1.mp4, IS_L_1.txt | IS_L_2.mp4, IS_L_2.txt | IS_L_3.mp4, IS_L_3.txt |
| | Car | | IH_C_1.mp4, IH_C_1.txt | IH_C_2.mp4, IH_C_2.txt | IH_C_3.mp4, IH_C_3.txt |
| Illumination – | PTW | | IH_M_1.mp4, IH_M_1.txt | IH_M_2.mp4, IH_M_2.txt | IH_M_3.mp4, IH_M_3.txt |
| Glare (Headlights from vehicle | Pedestrian | | IH_P_1.mp4, IH_P_1.txt | IH_P_2.mp4, IH_P_2.txt | IH_P_3.mp4, IH_P_3.txt |
| vehicles) | Bicycle | | IH_B_1.mp4, IH_B_1.txt | IH_B_2.mp4, IH_B_2.txt | IH_B_3.mp4, IH_B_3.txt |
| | Lane boundary | | IH_L_1.mp4, IH_L_1.txt | IH_L_2.mp4, IH_L_2.txt | IH_L_3.mp4, IH_L_3.txt |
| Infrastructure / Clutter | Car | | IC_C_1.mp4, IC_C_1.txt | IC_C_2.mp4, IC_C_2.txt | IC_C_3.mp4, IC_C_3.txt |
| | PTW | | IC_M_1.mp4, IC_M_1.txt | IC_M_2.mp4, IC_M_2.txt | IC_M_3.mp4, IC_M_3.txt |
| | Pedestrian | | IC_P_1.mp4, IC_P_1.txt | IC_P_2.mp4, IC_P_2.txt | IC_P_3.mp4, IC_P_3.txt |
| | Bicycle | | IC_B_1.mp4, IC_B_1.txt | IC_B_2.mp4, IC_B_2.txt | IC_B_3.mp4, IC_B_3.txt |

| Robustness Layer | Collision Partner | 3 evidence examples provided? | Evidence 1 | Evidence 2 | Evidence 3 |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Lane boundary | | IC_L_1.mp4, IC_L_1.txt | IC_L_2.mp4, IC_L_2.txt | IC_L_3.mp4, IC_L_3.txt |
| Obscuration / Obstruction | Car | | OO_C_1.mp4, OO_C_1.txt | OO_C_2.mp4, OO_C_2.txt | OO_C_3.mp4, OO_C_3.txt |
| | PTW | | OO_M_1.mp4, OO_M_1.txt | OO_M_2.mp4, OO_M_2.txt | OO_M_3.mp4, OO_M_3.txt |
| | Pedestrian | | OO_P_1.mp4, OO_P_1.txt | OO_P_2.mp4, OO_P_2.txt | OO_P_3.mp4, OO_P_3.txt |
| | Bicycle | | OO_B_1.mp4, OO_B_1.txt | OO_B_2.mp4, OO_B_2.txt | OO_B_3.mp4, OO_B_3.txt |
| | Lane boundary | | OO_L_1.mp4, OO_L_1.txt | OO_L_2.mp4, OO_L_2.txt | OO_L_3.mp4, OO_L_3.txt |